

Assessing Word-form based Search for Information in Arabic: Towards a New Type of Lexical Resource

Mouna Anizi¹, Joseph Dichy²

¹ Université Lumière-Lyon 2 & ICAR (UMR 5191-CNRS/Lyon 2);
École Nationale Supérieure des Sciences de l'Information et des Bibliothèques (ENSSIB),
Lyon, France
anizi@enssib.fr

² Université Lumière-Lyon 2 & ICAR (UMR 5191-CNRS/Lyon 2),
Lyon, France
joseph.dichy@univ-lyon2.fr

Abstract

Albeit real progress has been made during the last two decades, finding or retrieving information in Arabic with the help of a search engine remains difficult, owing to the high level of ambiguity entailed by the structure of ‘unvowelled’ Arabic writing. These language-specific difficulties are brought to a peak in the case of queries based on single words. The contribution analyses the results of search queries on Google, which are compared to the results of word-form analyses obtained both on the ArabiCorpus site (<http://arabicorpus.byu.edu/>) and with analysers based on the DIINAR.1 lexical resource (references at <http://diinar.univ-lyon2.fr>). An assessment protocol is proposed. Clearly, it aims at evaluating neither the analysers of ArabiCorpus and DIINAR.1, nor the Google search engine. Examining the latter is quite another question, related to Google ranking, and speed. The aim of the paper, instead, is to explore and assess the possibilities and limitations of word-form based queries in Arabic, i.e. the result of queries obtained with word-form based analysers and language resources (what can be obtained and what *strictly speaking* cannot). The protocol includes (a) comparing results obtained through Google with the often numerous word-forms obtained through the two other sources, (b) considering a number of semantic aspects related to the contexts query words appear in, and (c) taking into account word-before/word-after collocations and set phrases. It eventually introduces essential features of a new type of lexical resource for future Arabic search engines, which needs to contain, among other components : (a) a compact and comprehensive database operating at word-form level, such as DIINAR.1, and (b) an extended lexical resource that includes semantic relations, collocations and set or semi-set expressions.

1- Introduction

This paper is both a continuation of previous works on the assessment of Arabic language resources and software [Dichy, 2004; 2005], and a contribution to the BLARK (Basic Language Resources Kit) concept in Arabic [Krauwert et al., 2004]. We focus here on resources needed in retrieving, or searching for information in Arabic. The task remains difficult, owing to the fact (a) that high level language resources similar to those found, for instance, in English or French, are still missing in Arabic, in spite of recent advances [Nikkhou & Choukri, 2004], and (b) that the structure of Arabic writing is both agglutinative and ‘unvowelled’ [Dichy, 1997]. Traditionally ‘unvowelled’ Arabic script is known to generate a high level of ambiguities [Dichy, 1990]; additional ambiguities are associated with the Arabic language in newspapers ([Buckwalter, 2004], [Abbes, 2004], [Abbes & Dichy, 2008a]) and on the Web [Hassoun, Dichy & Abbes, 2008].

In order to tackle the question of how these difficulties affect search results, we present a short experiment of information retrieval in Arabic, conducted on the current Google search engine. The protocol of the experiment includes comparing the output of Google searches with results obtained with lexical queries using the ArabiCorpus site (<http://arabicorpus.byu.edu/>) and the DIINAR.1 lexical resource (<http://diinar.univ-lyon2.fr>

[Dichy, Braham, Ghazali & Hassoun, 2002], [Dichy & Hassoun, 2005]).

The reader should note, for the sake of clarity, that, in this work, we do not endeavour to assess any of these tools, i.e. neither the Google Arabic search engine, nor the underlining lexical database and morphological analyser of ArabiCorpus or DIINAR.1. This is, in fact quite another discussion, which involves comparing Google ranking and statistic approaches on the one side, and, on the other, considering the frequency of occurrences in a given corpus as well as morphological analysis based on rules and on grammar-lexis information drawn from a lexical resource. This could be the matter of another work, which would include speed parameters, discussions around the Google ranking approach, and other linguistic and semantic aspects. The question of the optimisation of morphological analysers drawing on lexical resources such as DIINAR.1 is no easy matter when it comes to such results as the hundreds of thousand answers obtained in split seconds through Google. The aim of the paper is, instead, to explore and evaluate the possibilities and limitations of word-form based information queries in Arabic. The object of the assessment is what can be done with single word queries, and what, strictly speaking, cannot. The contribution eventually aims at highlighting the need for a new type of lexical resource.

First, we introduce in section 2 the protocol of the experimental procedure followed. The section includes a short recall of the structure of the Google Arabic search

engine, as well as the ArabiCorpus site, and the DIINAR.1 resource.

Second, we present, in section 3, a small number of actual queries, and analyse the results obtained.

Third, we outline, in section 4, some features of the new lexical resource that is needed.

2- The Assessment Protocol

The testing protocol consists of the following procedural steps:

Step 1: Google Arabic single word search

- Perform a simple search on Google. The query only contains Arabic strings.
- Observe the results obtained and detect difficulties (flaws, if any, and misses). Try and categorize them according to the problem encountered. On the opposite, note effective results.

Step 2: Considering word-form variation related to a given lemma (with ArabiCorpus and DIINAR.1)

- Perform another search using the same queries (words) on the ArabiCorpus site (BYU) and also in the DIINAR.1 resource.
- Compare results found in step 2 with those obtained with Google. The frequency of Arabic graphic word-forms obtained through ArabiCorpus should be taken into account.

Step 3: Word-before/word-after contextualization

- Identify ‘frozen’ or set expressions or terms (that include two words or more). On the ArabiCorpus site, consider very basic collocations and phrases, using the ‘word before/word after’ function, only taking into account the higher frequency collocations. Identify, in the step 1 results of the Google search (single word queries), the most salient set phrases including two words or more.
- Go back to Google and perform a new set of searches based on frequent ‘word before’ or ‘word after’ collocations obtained through the ArabiCorpus site (using the Google quotation marks convention). These new queries focus on contexts.
- Evaluate results. List, whenever found, lacks in the contextualized research on Google and propose an analysis. Also consider results that appear on Google and that are not found using ArabiCorpus or the DIINAR related tools.

2.1- Google, a short recall

The Google search engine is based on a method called "pagerank calculation". The ‘pagerank’, as one knows, is a quotation from 0 to 10, reflecting the popularity of a site. The more a site receives links from other sites, the higher its quotation. The calculation of the ‘pagerank’ value is conducted through what is called the ‘Google Dance’. During this phase, a computer robot roams all web pages in Google's indexes, counting and comparing the number of links pointing to each site [Peyronnet, 2007]. The diagram and the descriptive recall below show how the search engines manage to answer queries with both speed and precision.

Phase 1		Phase 2		Phase 3
<i>Web crawl and storage of pages found</i>	...▶	<i>Indexation and feeding of the Google databases</i>	...▶	<i>Sorting and presentation of the results of the search queries</i>

Table 1: Overall structure of the Google search engine.

In the first phase a robot, called "bot" or "spider" operates. Its program roams the web continuously, in order to feed and update a database that includes:

- the address of every page found;
- a description of the contents of pages (title, text, meta-tags, names of pictures, images, etc.);
- a list of links between every page and other pages.

The Google engine is powered by thousands of robots operating continuously on thousands of computers around the world. Every time a page contains a link to another page, the robot, once users have finished reading, jumps to the linked page and continues its work.

The second phase is the building of the index. Google's computers permanently process the content of pages found, in order to generate indexes that will enable it to find almost instantly the result of a given query among billions of pages.

The third and final phase is the website opened by users connecting, for instance, to www.google.com or www.google.fr. There are over a hundred sites (also called ‘DataCenters’) throughout the world. Each contains a copy of the index of all the pages liable to respond to a given query. This allows each distribution centre to remain successful despite the large number of users of the system (see <http://www.rankspirit.com>).

2.2- ArabiCorpus, short presentation

ArabiCorpus is a site (<http://arabicorpus.byu.edu/>) offering query words in Arabic for the purpose of lexical analyses. It belongs to the Brigham Young University (BYU) in the state of Utah. The corpus includes over 68 million words, belonging, mainly, to newspapers from different Arabic countries and to contemporary literature (novels, essays). It also includes the Koran, a few medieval science treatises, *A Thousand and One Nights*, etc. The site presents users, on a freeware basis, with the results of a concordance software operating with a language resource, “the lookup items” of which are “based loosely on (but are quite different from) the dictionary files in Buckwalter's Morphological Analyser” (D. Parkinson, site information pages).

The entry of queries can be either words, for which the user is asked to specify the lexical category (name, adjective, verb, adverb), or strings of characters, which allows entering set phrases (variation in set phrases require repeated queries).

The result of a given query appears on a number of pages, which include on the whole:

- the number of occurrences per 100,000 words in the part of the corpus selected by the user (newspaper, novel, etc.);
- the KWIC (‘Key Words In Context’) concordance related to each entry, with about 15 words before and after, and corpus location information;
- the sub-sections of the selected corpus where the results were found;

– the word-forms in which the subject of the request is accompanied by a frequency index;
 – the ‘word before/word after’ of the entry, associated with their number of occurrences.
 Links to either the concordance results or to the paragraph the query word appears in are offered. There are other features, but we need here to cut short.

2.3- The DIINAR.1 lexical database and the related word-level analysers, a quick outline

DIINAR.1 (‘Dictionnaire INformatisé de l’ARabe, version 1’, Arabic acronym معالي for *mu’jam al-‘arabiyya l-‘aliyy*) is a lexical language resource (<http://diinar.univ-lyon2.fr>) encompassing 19,457 verbs, 70,702 deverbal entries (verbal nouns, مصدر, active and passive participles, اسم الفاعل والمفعول, ‘analogous’ adjectives, صفة مشبهة, nouns ‘of time and place’, اسم الزمان والمكان), 39,099 nominal stems, around 150 tool-words and a prototype of 1,384 proper names.

Each entry is associated with word-level morpho-syntactic specifiers ensuring ‘legal’ grammar-lexis relations between the lexical basis of a given word-form and other word-formatives [Dichy, 1997]. This means that the lexica generated by combining lemmas and affixes and/or clitics are constrained, and only include forms that effectively exist in the language. For instance, the lemma كَتَبَ *kataba*, ‘to write’, can be followed by the clitic complement pronoun, *-hu* ‘it’ (or ‘him’, in a metaphoric use, meaning ‘he wrote his name’), as opposed to نَزَلَ *nazala*, ‘to go down’, which cannot be associated with a complement pronoun (*kataba* is transitive and *nazala* is not). Verbal entries can be conjugated, and nominal ones, subjected to declension.

The total amount of minimal words (i.e. of lemmas with their prefix and suffixes) generated from the database is 7,774,938 [Abbes, Dichy & Hassoun, 2004; 2005]. All these forms are *existing* words (as indicated above, the resource is not based on the generation of purely virtual forms: these would amount to over 65 or 70 million forms!) DIINAR.1 is available at ELRA/ELDA (www.elda.org).

One of the main tools based on the DIINAR.1 lexical resource is the AraConc concordance software, the output of which can be considered as a triple: the word-form, its analysis and its position in the corpus, and the MorphArab word-form analyser [Abbes, 2004], which shares with the other morphological analysers and generators based on DIINAR.1 ([Zaafarani, 2002], [Ouersighni, 2001]) the functions of:

- segmentation and analysis of word-forms into lower-level formatives, and
- identifying the word-forms that belong to the language. [Abbes & Dichy, 2008a and b].

The results of Google queries and the interrogation of the ArabiCorpus site will also be compared to the contents of the generated lexica of DIINAR.1.

3- The Results of Queries

The protocol presented at the beginning of section 2 is applied below to queries based on the single word, *kawkab* كوكب, ‘star’, ‘planet’. This noun is related to a group of notions, and features a high level of polysemy,

including a number of metaphoric uses that appear to be lexically coded. Its plural form is built through ‘internal derivation’ (i.e. a change in the morpho-syllabic pattern, known as ‘broken plural’ – جمع تكسير, i.e., respectively: *kawaakib* كواكب and *‘amwaal* أموال. In addition, various types of collocations and set phrases can be found. These set phrases are – on the whole – of the <noun+adjective> or <noun+noun> (‘construct state’, إضافة) structure, and present interesting semantic features.

3.1- Step 1: Google Arabic single word search

The results for the *kawkab* كوكب query on Google yielded 39,700,000 results¹. In the first most relevant 443 results, *kawkab* appears as an isolated word, except for a very few occurrences of *al-kawkab* الكوكب (with the clitic definite article *-al*).

Here are the most prominent search results obtained through Google. Meanings – which have been checked in their context whenever needed – are exemplified with a significant excerpt or two :

First results: *kawkab* = ‘celestial object’ (latin *astrum*).

“The sun” كوكب الشمس
 “The planet Earth” كوكب الأرض

Second results: *kawkab* = the ‘planet’ a person is said to originate from (metaphorical use).

"The player who came from another planet." اللاعب الذي أتى من كوكب آخر

The phrase refers to the football player Maradona, considered in this context as talented enough to be described as extraterrestrial.

Similar result:

“She has no feather on her head, neither is she from another planet.” ليس فوق رأسها ريشة ولا من كوكب ثاني.

The two phrases included in the sentence above mean that the person in consideration is just ordinary (with nothing special about her).

Third results: *kawkab* = a ‘planet’, meaning ‘a world’ or ‘a universe’ (metaphorical use).

“The planet heart” كوكب القلب.

The expression, which is borrowed from a magazine, refers to the world of feelings and love.

“The planet of crime”, i.e., كوكب الإجرام
 the “world of crime”

Fourth results: *kawkab* = a ‘star’, metaphorical use referring to a ‘radiant beauty’ (actress, singer, etc.).

“He has the face of a star” له وجه كوكب

This comparison refers to beauty (in English, the phrasing would go: “his/her face is radiantly handsome” or “beautiful”).

¹ All the figures for the Google queries refer to the first week of April 2009.

Fifth results: *kawkab* = a ‘star’, metaphorical use referring to a ‘star’ in the ‘Hollywood’ meaning of the term. Several answers include figurative senses relating to human referents.

“The star of Orient” كوكب الشرق

This set expression is almost a nickname, which traditionally refers to the Egyptian singer Umm Kulthum, and to her unique, and now legendary status. We found no other use on Google.

“the star of cooking” كوكب الطبخ

The phrase refers, among others, to ‘Chef Ramzi’, a star cook, who presents cooking recipes on one of the Lebanese television companies.

“film star” كوكب سينمائي

Another phrasing of the same meaning is: نجمة سينمائية, *najma sinamaa’iyya*. Similar phrases are found in English or French (“a movie star”, “une star du cinema”). The cliché, both in French and Arabic is obviously borrowed from English (and Hollywood). In Arabic, though, the immediate word for ‘star’ is *najma*. *Kawkab* is used here in its hyperonymic meaning.

Sixth result: *kuwaykib*, ‘asteroid’.

“The luminous flares and the gases and the explosion of an asteroid...” انفجار كويكب صغير... من اللهب والغازات المنبعثة من

As already indicated, the word *kawkab* refers, literally, to a “celestial object” (compare to French *astre* or Latin *astrum*). It appears here in the diminutive form *kuwaykib*, which allows construing, in Arabic terminology, a word for “asteroid”.

Seventh result: *kawaakib*, plural of *kawkab*, used as a feminine proper name.

“But Kawaakib became المجنونة ولكن كواكب صارت مثل crazy-like”.

The result shows the form of the ‘broken’ plural (جمع تكسير) of *kawkab*, used as a feminine proper name (a number of plural nouns of the *mafaa’il/fawaa’il* pattern are re-used as feminine proper names, e.g. *jawaahir*, ‘*awaaTif...*)

The last two results feature ‘internal derivation’ (a change in pattern, the radical consonants remaining unaltered). It suggests that the Google search engine may retain, although obviously not on a systematic basis, what we identify, linguistically, as morphologically related forms.

3.2- Step 2: Word-form variation, with ArabiCorpus and DIINAR.1

1) DIINAR.1 potential word-form variation related to the lemma *kawkab*

The results obtained from the DIINAR.1 lexical resource include fully developed word-form generation, based on

potentially *existing* forms (combinations excluded by grammar or by grammar-lexis relations, are filtered out). To make the presentation shorter, the only results given below are associated with the singular form *kawkab*. The plural *kawaakib*, and the relative nominal/adjectival form *kawkab-iyy* have not been included, for lack of space. The proclitic formants have also been reduced, for short: the proclitic prepositions (*bi-*, *li-*) or the article ‘*al-*’, for instance, are not included below. Case-endings have not been submitted to variation.

Conventions (table below): *Enclitic* (“ECL”) pronouns are described by *person* (“P”, with “1P” = 1st pers., “2P” = 2nd pers., 3P = 3rd), gender (“M”, “F” or “M[F]”) and number (“S” = sing., “P” = plur., “D” = dual).

Proclitic formatives	Word-forms	Enclitic formatives (pronouns)
و العطف	و كوكبكم	ECL, 2P, M, P, كُمْ
أ الاستفهام	أ كوكبكم	ECL, 2P, M, P, كُمْ
ف العطف+ ل التوكيد	ف لكوكبكم	ECL, 2P, M, P, كُمْ
ف العطف+ ل التوكيد	ف لكوكبهم	ECL, 3P, M, P, هُمْ
ف العطف	ف كوكبك	ECL, 2P, M, S, كْ
أ الاستفهام	أ كوكبهم	ECL, 3P, M, P, هُمْ
ل التوكيد	ل كوكبك	ECL, 2P, M, S, كْ
و العطف	و كوكبك	ECL, 2P, M, S, كْ
أ الاستفهام	أ كوكبك	ECL, 2P, M, S, كْ
ف العطف+ ل التوكيد	ف لكوكبك	ECL, 2P, M, S, كْ
و العطف+ ل التوكيد	و لكوكبك	ECL, 2P, M, S, كْ
ف العطف	ف كوكبها	ECL, 3P, F, S, هَا
أ الاستفهام+ ف العطف	أ فكوكبها	ECL, 3P, F, S, هَا
و العطف+ ل التوكيد	و لكوكبكم	ECL, 2P, M, P, كُمْ
أ الاستفهام+ ف العطف	أ فكوكبنا	ECL, 1P, M[F, D]P, نَا
و العطف+ ل التوكيد	و لكوكبهما	ECL, 3P, M[F, D], هُمَا
ف العطف	ف كوكبين	ECL, 3P, F, P, هُنَّ
أ الاستفهام+ ف العطف	أ فكوكبين	ECL, 3P, F, P, هُنَّ
ل التوكيد	ل كوكبين	ECL, 3P, F, P, هُنَّ
و العطف	و كوكبين	ECL, 3P, F, P, هُنَّ
أ الاستفهام	أ كوكبين	ECL, 3P, F, P, هُنَّ
ف العطف+ ل التوكيد	ف لكوكبين	ECL, 3P, F, P, هُنَّ
و العطف+ ل التوكيد	و لكوكبهم	ECL, 3P, M, P, هُمْ
ف العطف	ف كوكبنا	ECL, 1P, M[F, D]P, نَا
و العطف	و كوكبنا	ECL, 1P, M[F, D]P, نَا
أ الاستفهام	أ كوكبنا	ECL, 1P, M[F, D]P, نَا
ف العطف+ ل التوكيد	ف لكوكبنا	ECL, 1P, M[F, D]P, نَا
و العطف+ ل التوكيد	و لكوكبنا	ECL, 1P, M[F, D]P, نَا
ف العطف	ف كوكبهم	ECL, 3P, M, P, هُمْ
ل التوكيد	ل كوكبهم	ECL, 3P, M, P, هُمْ
و العطف+ ل التوكيد	و لكوكبين	ECL, 3P, F, P, هُنَّ
ف العطف	ف كوكبهما	ECL, 3P, M[F, D], هُمَا
و العطف	و كوكبكما	ECL, 2P, M[F, D], كَمَا
أ الاستفهام	أ كوكبكما	ECL, 2P, M[F, D], كَمَا
ف العطف+ ل التوكيد	ف لكوكبكما	ECL, 2P, M[F, D], كَمَا
و العطف+ ل التوكيد	و لكوكبكما	ECL, 2P, M[F, D], كَمَا
ف العطف	ف كوكبكن	ECL, 2P, F, P, كُنَّ
أ الاستفهام+ ف العطف	أ فكوكبكن	ECL, 2P, F, P, كُنَّ
و العطف	و كوكبكن	ECL, 2P, F, P, كُنَّ
ل التوكيد	ل كوكبكما	ECL, 2P, M[F, D], كَمَا
و العطف+ ل التوكيد	و لكوكبكن	ECL, 2P, F, P, كُنَّ
ل التوكيد	ل كوكبكن	ECL, 2P, F, P, كُنَّ
أ الاستفهام+ ف العطف	أ فكوكبهما	ECL, 3P, M[F, D], هُمَا

Proclitic formatives	Word-forms	Enclitic formatives (pronouns)
ل التوكيد	لَكَوْكَبُهُمَا	ECL, 3P, M F, D, هُمَا
و العطف	وَكَاوْكَبُهُمَا	ECL, 3P, M F, D, هُمَا
أ الاستفهام	أَكَوْكَبُهُمَا	ECL, 3P, M F, D, هُمَا
و العطف	وَكَاوْكَبُهَا	ECL, 3P, F, S, هَا
أ الاستفهام+ ف العطف	أَفَكَوْكَبُهُمْ	ECL, 3P, M, P, هُمْ
ف العطف+ ل التوكيد	فَلَكَوْكَبُهُمَا	ECL, 3P, M F, D, هُمَا
ف العطف+ ل التوكيد	فَلَكَوْكَبُكِنَّ	ECL, 2P, F, P, كِنَّ
ف العطف	فَكَوْكَبُهَا	ECL, 2P, F, S, هَا
أ الاستفهام	أَكَوْكَبُهَا	ECL, 3P, F, S, هَا
ف العطف+ ل التوكيد	فَلَكَوْكَبُهَا	ECL, 3P, F, S, هَا
أ الاستفهام	أَكَوْكَبُكِنَّ	ECL, 2P, F, P, كِنَّ
و العطف+ ل التوكيد	وَلَكَوْكَبُهَا	ECL, 3P, F, S, هَا
أ الاستفهام+ ف العطف	أَفَكَوْكَبُكِنَّمَا	ECL, 2P, M F, D, كِنَّمَا
أ الاستفهام+ ف العطف	أَفَكَوْكَبُكَ	ECL, 2P, F, S, كِ
ل التوكيد	لَكَوْكَبُكَ	ECL, 2P, F, S, كِ
و العطف	وَكَاوْكَبُكَ	ECL, 2P, F, S, كِ
أ الاستفهام	أَكَوْكَبُكَ	ECL, 2P, F, S, كِ
ف العطف+ ل التوكيد	فَلَكَوْكَبُكَ	ECL, 2P, F, S, كِ
و العطف+ ل التوكيد	وَلَكَوْكَبُكَ	ECL, 2P, F, S, كِ
ف العطف	فَكَوْكَبُهُ	ECL, 3P, M, S, هُ
ف العطف	فَكَوْكَبُكِنَّمَا	ECL, 2P, M F, D, كِنَّمَا
و العطف+ ل التوكيد	وَلَكَوْكَبُهُ	ECL, 3P, M, S, هُ
أ الاستفهام+ ف العطف	أَفَكَوْكَبُهُ	ECL, 3P, M, S, هُ
ل التوكيد	لَكَوْكَبُهُ	ECL, 3P, M, S, هُ
و العطف	وَكَاوْكَبُهُ	ECL, 3P, M, S, هُ
أ الاستفهام	أَكَوْكَبُهُ	ECL, 3P, M, S, هُ
ف العطف+ ل التوكيد	فَلَكَوْكَبُهُ	ECL, 3P, M, S, هُ

Table 2: A subset of the potentially existing word-forms including the lemma *kawkab* (from DIINAR.1)

The above word-forms do not appear in the results obtained on Google with the *kawkab* كوكب query. Some of them, though, are bound to be relevant, e.g.:

Number of clitic formatives	Word-form	Google query results
One proclitic	وكوكب	54,900
	بكوكب	99,800
	لكوكب	488,000
	الكوكب	1,520,000
One enclitic	كوكبك	7,920
	كوكبها	9,770
	كوكبكم	25,100
	كوكبنا	228,000
Two proclitics	بالكوكب	122,000
	والكوكب	279,000
One proclitic and one enclitic	وكوكبك	456
	لكوكبك	1,010
Two proclitics and one enclitic	أفكوكبكما	0
	ولكوكبك	76

Table 3: Additional queries on Google, based on word-forms from the DIINAR.1 language resource

The last line of the table obviously features rarely encountered combinations of clitic formatives. On the other hand, the result for ‘one enclitic’ with the 3rd person sing. masculine pronoun *-hu*, albeit it amounts to a very high figure, 4,970,000, is not relevant for us here, because *kawkabu-hu* كوكبه, ‘his’ or ‘its planet, star’ (‘celestial

object’) and *kawkaba&* كوكبة, ‘constellation’ are not currently distinguished by the Google search engine.

2) ArabiCorpus results: corpus-based word-form variation related to the lemma *kawkab*

The number of occurrences of the lexical entry *kawkab* in the Egyptian newspaper Al-Ahram (year 1999) is 428, with an average of 2.6 *kawkab* per 100,000 words.

Word-forms based on *kawkab* appearing in the above corpus are the following:

- *'al-kawkab*, which includes the proclitic article *al-*: 123 occurrences;
- *kawkab-V-naa*, including an undetermined case-ending suffix, conventionally transcribed here with a “V” (for either *-u*, *-a* or *-i*, respectively nominative, accusative or genitive) and the enclitic pronoun *-naa* (1st person, plural): 37 occurrences;
- *kawkab-an*, including the suffix *-an* (case-ending = accusative in indefinite nouns): 20 occurrences
- *li-kawkab-in*, with the proclitic *li-* (preposition, roughly here: ‘for’, or ‘to’): 14 occurrences;
- *wa-l-kawkab-V*, with the proclitic coordination marker *wa-*, the article *-al*, and an undetermined case-ending suffix (noted with a “V”): 8 occurrences;
- *kawkabii*, with the enclitic pronoun *-ii* (1st pers. sing.): 8 occurrences.

These forms did not appear in the Google search. On the other hand, the plural form *kawaakib*, which appeared in the results of Google *kawkab* query (albeit in the limited way mentioned above) and is included in DIINAR.1, could not be found with ArabiCorpus.

When starting a new Google query with the word-form *kawkabu-naa*, one finds as many as 228,000 occurrences, which were not included at all in the query based on *kawkab* presented above. Another query, adding a letter *y* (ياء) after *kawkab* gave 65,000 responses. These nevertheless divide into (a) the adjectival form *kawkab-iiyy* ‘star-like’ on the one hand, and (b) the noun followed by the pronoun of the first person (*ii* – ي) on the other. Two overall remarks can be made:

(1) The Google search requires the user to consider by himself the various types of word-form variation, and then launch as many new queries as he can think of. The large number of results found with the two examples above (228,000 and 65,000), as well as the adding up of figures than can be obtained on Google using potentially existing word-forms from Table 2², also demonstrates the importance of this gap, due to the lack of an underlying word-level lexical resource such as DIINAR.1.

(2) Coming to the assessment of word-level queries, one must not forget that it is extremely difficult for any analyser (including morpho-syntactic analysers [Ouersighni, 2001]) to distinguish, e.g., between the two word-forms behind the unvoiced graphic word *kwkby*, referred to by (a) and (b) above. The limitation is neither that of Google, ArabiCorpus or DIINAR.1, but pertains,

² The few examples given in Table 3 already amount to about 2.8 million occurrences.

rather, to the category of *what, strictly speaking, cannot be done at word-level*.

3.3- Step 3: Word-before/word-after contextualisation

The following results come from the ArabiCorpus site. The most recurrent word before/word after combination are given in the table below:

Word immediately after	Occurrences	
الأرض	Al-ArD (without hamza)	59
الشرق	Al- <u>sh</u> arq	51
المريخ	Al-Marriix	25
المشتري	Al-Mushtarii	13
آخر	'aaxar	11
الأرض	Al-'ArD (with hamza)	11
الأرضي	Al-'arDiyy (with hamza)	10

Word immediately after	Occurrences	
سطح	saTH	22
سكان	Sukkaan	14

Table 4 : Words immediately following *kawkab* in the Al-Ahram (1999) newspaper – ArabiCorpus consultation.

Note that the first query on Google (see § 3.1) included all the occurrences of the ‘word-after’ function above, except for the phrase *al-Kawkab al-'arDiyy* الكوكب الأرضي, “the planet Earth”. Regarding the latter set expression, a query launched on Google using the “quotes” convention yielded as many as 14,300 results, which did not appear in the first query. We obtained 4,680 results for *sukkaan al-kawkab* سكان الكوكب, “the inhabitants of the planet” and 23,500 for *saTH al-kawkab* سطح الكوكب, “the surface of the planet”, 8,900 of which are included in the syntagm *saTH al-kawkab al-'aHmar* سطح الكوكب الأحمر, “the surface of the red planet”, i.e. Mars.

4- Towards an extended lexical resource

4.1- Comments on DIINAR.1 and ArabiCorpus

The DIINAR.1 language resource gives a next to complete mapping of potentially existing word-forms, including singular / ‘internal morphology’ plural forms (e.g.: *kawkab*, sing. / *kawaakib*, plur.). This allows considering the possibility of generating the word-forms associated with a given lemma in order to enrich the input of search queries, as shown in tables 2 and 3.

ArabiCorpus, on the other hand, shows contexts and collocations, which are not included in DIINAR.1 (see, e.g., table 4). Such contexts and set expressions are also given by Google searches, as we have seen in § 3.1, with such examples as *kawkab ash-sharq* كوكب الشرق, “the star of Orient”, i.e. Umm Kulthuum or *al-Kawkab al-'arDiyy* الكوكب الأرضي, “the planet Earth” (in § 3.3 above).

4.2- Information that cannot be accessed at word-form level

On the other hand, there does not seem to be a way in which the high level of ambiguity of Arabic script can be neutralised at word-form level. If the query is, for instance, the written form *Twl* طول, the user can be asked (as on the ArabiCorpus site) to specify the lexical category (noun, adjective, verb...). This may allow the search engine, if the object of the query is a verb, to look for all the conjugated forms (which can be generated with a resource such as DIINAR.1), but will not allow eliminating the noun *Tuul*, ‘length’ from the search, since it is supported by the same graphic form *Twl* as the verb *Tawwal* طوّل, ‘to make long’, ‘to lengthen’, ‘to protract’, at the 3rd pers. masc. sing. of the perfective; in addition, one finds the noun *Tawl* طوّل, ‘might, power’.

We have also seen in § 3.2- 2) that the graphic word *kwkby* كوكبي is ambiguous because the last letter (y) can be either the 1st person sing. clitic pronoun (the meaning is: “my star” or “planet”), or the relative adjective suffix (the meaning being “star-like”, “planet-like” or “planetary”). The search noises caused by these ambiguities cannot be rubbed out at word-level.

4.3- The need for a lexical resource that includes collocations and set expressions

The example of *kawkab* is also interesting because it underlines another type of ambiguity, which is related to the various meaning of a given word. As seen in § 3.1 and 3.3, *kawkab* can mean:

(a) a “planet” or a “star”, in the proper sense of “celestial object”, e.g. *kawkab al-'arD*, “the planet Earth” (other planets are mentioned in Table 4), or *kawkab ash-shams*, “the sun”;

(b) a “planet” in the metaphorical meaning of “the world of”, as in the *third results* found with Google (§ 3.1): *kawkab al-qalb*, word-for-word, “the planet heart”, i.e., “the world of feelings and love”;

(c) a “star”, in another metaphorical meaning, related to radiance (and the glittering of ‘Hollywood stars’), e.g. *kawkab sinamaa'iiyy*, “movies” or “film star”, or in *kawkab ash-sharq*, “the star of Orient” (Umm Kulthuum).

Each of these meanings is associated with a word before/word after context:

In (a), *kawkab* is associated with a named entity, referring to a planet or a star. In Arabic, one does not say “Paris”, “the Thames”, or “Mars”, but *madiinatu Baariis*, “the city of Paris”, *nahr at-taamz*, “the river Thames”, *kawkab al-marriix*, “the planet Mars”. It is therefore possible to list the named entities that are liable to follow *kawkab* in that sense.

In (b), the context is that of a magazine. The phrase refers to a given heading.

In (c), the examples are set expressions.

All three types contexts can be entered in a language resource. The work presented here paves the way for

corpus-based listing and description of contexts and collocations.

4.4- Conclusion: Structure of the language resource needed for Arabic search engines

On the basis of the above analyses, one can outline the structure of the language resource needed for the optimisation of Arabic search engines:

- On the one hand, one needs searches to be as comprehensive as possible. This requires a good level of morphological analysis. The language resource should therefore include generated lexica of the same level of comprehensiveness and efficiency as those of DIINAR.1.
- On the other hand, one needs to restrict the search according to the actual aims of the user. A language resource including the type of contextual information outlined in the previous paragraph will allow presenting the users of Arabic searches with semantic and contextual choices (see, for instance, the types of results listed in § 3.1). Searches results easily amount to millions of answers (for the bare word *kawkab*, there are, as we have seen, 39.7 million results; with the article *al-*, one gets 1,5 million others). Choices presented to users of a search engine can both optimize the searching process, and restrict the results according to actual needs.

Acknowledgements

The present work is part of the contribution of the Lumière-Lyon 2 University to the MEDAR project (“Mediterranean Arabic Language and Speech Technology” – <http://www.medar.info>), supported by the European Community (7th framework programme). Responsible scientist in Lyon 2: Prof. Joseph Dichy. Project duration: 30 months, starting on Feb. 1st, 2008. Project coordinator: Prof. Bente Maegaard, University of Copenhagen, Center for Language Technology.

References

- Abbès R. (2004). *La conception et la réalisation d'un concordancier pour l'arabe*. PhD, Lyon : INSA.
- Abbès R. & J. Dichy (2008a). Extraction automatique de fréquences lexicales en arabe et analyse d'un corpus journalistique avec le logiciel AraConc et la base de connaissances DIINAR.1, in : Heiden, S. & Pincemain, B., *Proceedings of JADT 2008, 9th International Conference on Textual Data statistical Analysis*, Lyon 12-14/03/2008, Presses Universitaires de Lyon, 2 vol.: 31-44.
- (2008b). AraConc, an Arabic Concordance Software Based on the DIINAR.1 Language Resource, *INFOS 2008: The 6th International Conference on Informatics and Systems – Special Track On Natural Language Processing*, 27-28 March 2008, Cairo, Egypt. http://www.fci.cu.edu.eg/INFOS2008/infos/NLP_19_P_127-134.pdf
- Abbès, R., Dichy, J. & Hassoun, M. (2004). The Architecture of a Standard Arabic Lexical database: some figures, ratios and categories from the DIINAR.1 source program. In: *COLING'04, 20th International*

- Conference on Computational Linguistics. Proceedings of the Workshop on Computational Approaches to Arabic Script-based Languages*, 28.08.2004, Geneva: 15-22
- (2005). Morpho-lexical ambiguities in the recognition of written Arabic word-forms, evidence from the DIINAR.1 lexical resource. In: *International Conference on Machine Intelligence*, Special session on *Linguistic Information Integration in Arabic Character and Text Recognition*, Tozeur, Tunisia, 5-7/11/2005.
- Alrahabi, M. & Dichy, J. (2009). Levée d'ambiguïté par la méthode d'exploration contextuelle: la séquence 'alif-nûn (ن) en arabe, in Ghenima, Malek, Ouksel, Aris et Sidhom, Sahbi (eds.), *Systèmes d'Information et Intelligence Economique, 2^{ème} Conférence Internationale (SIIE 2009)*, Tunis, Hammamet, 12-14/02/2009, IHE éditions: 573-585.
- Buckwalter, T. (2004). Issues in Arabic Orthography and Morphological Analysis. In: *COLING'04, 20th International Conference on Computational Linguistics. Proceedings of the Workshop on Computational Approaches to Arabic Script-based Languages*, 28.08.2004, Geneva : 31-41.
- Dichy, J. (1990). *L'Écriture dans la représentation de la langue : la lettre et le mot en arabe*. Thèse de doctorat d'État (en linguistique), Université Lumière-Lyon 2.
- (1997). Pour une lexicomatique de l'arabe : l'unité lexicale simple et l'inventaire fini des spécificateurs du domaine du mot. *Meta* 42, Québec: 291-306. www.erudit.org/revue/meta/1997/v42/n2/002564ar.pdf
- (2004). Six Basic Criteria for the Assessment and Validation of Arabic Processing Software and Lexical Language Resources, *Proceedings of the NEMLAR International Conference on Arabic Language Resources and Tools*, Le Caire, 22-23 Sept. 2004, distrib. Paris: ELDA: 94-101.
- (2005). The crucial role of language resources (LR-s) in the assessment of Arabic NLP applications including recognition, Key-note address at the Special session on “Linguistic Information Integration in Arabic Character and Text Recognition” – *International Conference on Machine Intelligence*, Tozeur, Tunisia, Nov. 5-7, 2005.
- Dichy, J. & Abbès, R. (2008). Can the building of corpus-based Arabic concordances with AraConc and DIINAR.1 tackle the issue of Arabic polyglossia? in: Choukri, Kh., Diab, M., Maegaard, B., Rosso, Souidi, A., Farghaly, A. (eds.): *HLL & NLP within the Arabic world: Arabic Language and local languages processing: Status Updates and Prospects*, LREC (6th International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation), LREC Workshop Proceedings, Marrakech, Morocco, 2008.
- Dichy, J., Braham, A., Ghazali, S. & Hassoun M. (2002). La base de connaissances linguistiques DIINAR.1 (Dictionnaire INformatisé de l'Arabe, version 1), in BRAHAM Abdelfattah, ed., *Actes de la conférences internationale sur le Traitement automatique de l'arabe, Proceedings of the International Symposium on The Processing of Arabic*, Tunis (La Manouba), 18-20 April 2002, Tunis: Université de La Manouba: 45-56.
- Dichy, J. & Hassoun, M. (2005). The DIINAR.1-« معالي » Arabic Lexical Resource, an outline of contents and methodology, *The ELRA Newsletter*, Vol. 10, n°2, April-June 2005: 5-10.

- Hassoun, M., Dichy, J. & Abbès, R. (2008). Traitement de l'arabe écrit et Web arabe : l'apport de l'équipe lyonnaise SILAT (Systèmes d'information, Ingénierie, Linguistique arabes et Terminologie), Atelier sur les contenus arabes sur la Toile, Société syrienne d'informatique, Damas, 13-14 Avril 2008 – <http://silat@univ-lyon2.fr>
- Krauwer, S., Maegaard, B., Choukri, Kh., Damsgaard Jørgensen, L. (2004). *Report on BLARK for Arabic*, NEMLAR, Center for Sprogteknologi, University of Copenhagen, www.nemlar.org
- Nikkhou, M. & Choukri, Kh. (2004). *Survey on Arabic Language Resources and Tools in the Mediterranean Countries*. NEMLAR Project Survey Report and ELDA, www.nemlar.org
- Peyronnet, Guillaume (2007) 29/01/2007 <http://www.pomms.org/comment-fonctionne-un-moteur-de-recherche-comme-google--121.html>
- Ouersighni, R. (2001). A major offshoot of the DIINAR-MBC project: AraParse, a morpho-syntactic analyser of unvowelled Arabic texts. In: *ACL 39th Annual Meeting. Workshop on Arabic Language Processing: Status and Prospect*, Toulouse: 66-72.
- Zaafrani R. (2002). *Développement d'un environnement interactif d'apprentissage avec ordinateur de l'arabe langue étrangère*. PhD, ENSSIB/Université Lyon 2.